

1 Brooke A. Bohlke  
2 Nevada Bar No. 9374  
3 Susana Santana  
4 Nevada Bar No. 13753  
5 **WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP**  
6 2881 Business Park Court, Suite 200  
7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128-9020  
8 Phone: 702 251 4100 ♦ Fax: 702 251 5405  
9 [bbohlke@wshblaw.com](mailto:bbohlke@wshblaw.com)  
10 [ssantana@wshblaw.com](mailto:ssantana@wshblaw.com)

11 Attorneys for Defendants, ARAMARK SPORTS  
12 AND ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, LLC,  
13 ARAMARK CAMPUS, LLC, ARAMARK  
14 EDUCATIONAL GROUP, LLC, ARAMARK  
15 EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, LLC,  
16 ARAMARK SPORTS AND  
17 ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, LLC, ARAMARK  
18 SERVICES, INC.

19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

20 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA, SOUTHERN DIVISION**

21 NATALIE RUISI,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 ARAMARK SPORTS AND  
25 ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, LLC, a  
26 Foreign Limited Liability Company;  
27 ARAMARK CAMPUS, LLC, a Foreign  
28 Limited Liability Company; ARAMARK  
EDUCATIONAL GROUP, LLC, a Foreign  
Limited Liability Company; ARAMARK  
EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, LLC, a Foreign  
Limited Liability Company; ARAMARK  
SPORTS AND ENTERTAINMENT GROUP,  
LLC, a Foreign Limited Liability Company;  
ARAMARK SERVICES, INC., a Foreign  
Corporation; and, ROE Business Organizations  
I-X; and DOE INDIVIDUALS I-X, Inclusive,

29 Defendants.

30 Case No. 2:20-cv-01544-JCM-VCF

31 **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
32 EXTEND DEADLINES  
33 (FIRST REQUEST)**

34 Trial Date: None Set

35 ///

36 ///

Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, LR IA 6-2 and LR 26-3, and for good cause shown, Plaintiff Natalie Ruisi, and Defendants, ARAMARK SPORTS AND ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, LLC, ARAMARK CAMPUS, LLC, ARAMARK EDUCATIONAL GROUP, EDCCARIAOMARKSERVICES, LLC, ARAMARK SPORTS AND ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, LLC, ARAMARK SERVICES, INC. (herein “the parties”), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree to and jointly move this Honorable Court for an order to continue discovery by ninety (90) days as indicated below. This is the first request for an extension of time to complete discovery. This request is being made within 21-days of the expiration of the current discovery period set for February 15, 2021. ECF No. 9.

**A. DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE**

**The parties have produced the following disclosures:**

**1. Plaintiff served the following disclosures:**

- a. Initial Disclosures on September 28, 2020.

**2. Defendants served the following disclosures:**

- a. Initial Disclosures on September 30, 2020;
- b. Supplemental Disclosures on November 6, 2020, December 4, 2020, and December 14, 2020.

**The parties have completed the following written discovery:**

**Plaintiff served the following written discovery requests on September 16, 2020:**

1. Plaintiff’s First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Aramark Sports and Entertainment, LLC. Defendant responded on November 6, 2020 and supplemented its responses on December 14, 2020.
2. Plaintiff’s first set of Requests for Document and First Set of Request for Production of Documents to Defendant Aramark Sports and Entertainment, LLC. Defendant responded on November 6, 2020 and supplements its responses on December 14, 2020.

**Defendant served the following written discovery requests on January 15, 2021:**

1. Defendant’s First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff
2. Defendant’s First Set of Requests for Admission to Plaintiff

1           3. Defendant's First Set of Request for Production of Documents to Plaintiff.

2           **The parties have not taken any depositions.**

3           **B. DISCOVERY REMAINING TO BE COMPLETED**

- 4           1. Depositions of parties, non-parties, percipient, and corporate witnesses;
- 5           2. Written discovery;
- 6           3. Issuance of Subpoenas;
- 7           4. The parties anticipate that supplemental written discovery may be needed once the  
8 parties complete depositions.

9           **C. REASON FOR REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY DEADLINES**

10          A scheduling order can be modified "for good cause and with the judge's consent." FRCP  
11 16(b)(4). "A motion or stipulation to extend time must state the reasons for the extension requested  
12 and must inform the court of all previous extensions of the subject deadline the court granted." LR  
13 IA 6-1 (a). "District courts should generally allow amendments of pre-trial orders when 'no  
14 substantial injury will be occasioned to the opposing party, the refusal to allow the amendment might  
15 result in injustice to the movant, and the inconvenience to the court is slight.'" *Campbell Industries*  
16 v. *M/V Gemini*, 619 F.2d 24, 27-28 (9th Cir. 1980) (*quoting Angle v. Sky Chef, Inc.*, 535 F.2d 492,  
17 495 (9th Cir. 1976); *Sherman v. United States*, 462 F.2d 577, 579 (5th Cir. 1972)). Here, as discussed  
18 below, there is no dispute among the parties that an extension would cause any injury or injustice,  
19 and that a refusal of extension could prejudice the parties. Additionally, no trial date is currently set.  
20 Therefore, the stipulated request for an extension should be granted.

21          The parties are actively conducting discovery and have engaged in meet and confers to  
22 resolve disputes before seeking Court intervention. However, the parties have engaged in motion  
23 practice, most recently having appeared before the Court on January 8, 2021 to resolve several  
24 discovery disputes. Moreover, COVID-19 has presented additional challenges the parties have had  
25 to navigate. The parties agree that this matter should be resolved on the merits. To that end, the  
26 parties continue to pursue discovery and their attempts to resolve discovery disputes short of Court  
27 intervention.

28          As a result, the parties herein request that the current deadlines be extended by 90 days. The

1 parties are also amenable to participate in a telephonic conference with the Court if necessary, to  
 2 further discuss the bases for the requested 90-day extension of deadlines.

3 **D. CURRENT SCHEDULE TO COMPLETE REMAINING DISCOVERY:**

4 Rebuttal Expert Disclosures: January 18, 2021  
 5 Close of Discovery: February 15, 2021  
 6 Dispositive Motions: March 17, 2021  
 7 Joint Pre-Trial Order: April 16, 2021

8 **E. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING DISCOVERY**

9 **Rebuttal Expert Disclosures:** **April 19, 2021**  
 10 **Close of Discovery:** **May 17, 2021**  
 11 **Dispositive Motions:** **June 15, 2021**  
 12 **Joint Pre-Trial Order:** **July 15, 2021**

13     ///  
 14     ///  
 15     ///  
 16     ///  
 17     ///  
 18     ///  
 19     ///  
 20     ///  
 21     ///  
 22     ///  
 23     ///  
 24     ///  
 25     ///  
 26     ///  
 27     ///  
 28     ///

If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or further court order.

1 **F. CURRENT TRIAL DATE:**

2 No trial is yet scheduled in this matter. A joint proposed pretrial order is due on April 16,  
3 2021. The parties seek additional time so that the same proposed pretrial order is due July 15, 2021  
4 or 30 days after this Court's ruling on dispositive motions.

5 **G. REQUEST NUMBER:**

6 This is the **first** request for an extension of time to complete discovery. Wherefore, the  
7 parties respectfully request that the Court grant this request to extend the discovery deadlines as  
8 outlined above.

9 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

10 DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of January 2021.

DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of January 2021.

11 **WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN**

**KEMP AND KEMP**

12 /s/ *Susana Santana*

/s/ *Victoria L. Neal*

13 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
14 BROOKE A. BOHLKE  
Nevada Bar No. 9374  
15 SUSANA SANTANA  
Nevada Bar No. 13753  
2881 Business Park Court, Ste. 200  
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128-9020  
Tel. 702 251 4100

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
1 JAMES P. KEMP  
Nevada Bar No. 6375  
2 VICTORIA L. NEAL  
Nevada Bar No. 13382  
3 7435 W. Azure Drive, Suite 110  
Las Vegas, NV 89130  
Tel: 702 258 1183

4 Attorneys for Defendants, ARAMARK  
5 SPORTS AND ENTERTAINMENT  
6 SERVICES, LLC, ARAMARK CAMPUS,  
7 LLC, ARAMARK EDUCATIONAL  
8 GROUP, LLC, ARAMARK  
9 EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, LLC,  
10 ARAMARK SPORTS AND  
11 ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, LLC,  
12 ARAMARK SERVICES, INC.

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
14 NATALIE RUISI

15 / / /

16 / / /

17 / / /

18 / / /

19 / / /

20 / / /

21 / / /

22 / / /

23 / / /

24 / / /

25 / / /

26 / / /

27 / / /

28 / / /

## ORDER

HAVING CONSIDERED THE FOREGOING STIPULATION OF COUNSEL, AND  
GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THE DISCOVERY DEADLINES ARE AMENDED AS SET  
FORTH ABOVE.

DATED: January 25, 2021.

---

**US MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
CAM FERENBACH**

**OOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP**  
Attorneys at Law  
**2881 BUSINESS PARK COURT, SUITE 200**  
**LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89128-9020**  
**TELEPHONE 702 251 4100 • FAX 702 251 5405**